1	A Membrane Apparatus and Method of Preparing a
2	Membrane and a Method of Producing Hydrogen
3	
4	The present invention relates to a membrane and a
5	method of preparing the membrane, the membrane being
6	particularly, but not exclusively, useful in
7	producing synthetic gas for use in Fischer-Tropsch
8	gas-to-liquids production in the oil and gas
9	exploration industry or for producing hydrogen for
10	use as a fuel.
11	
12	While offshore oil production has risen slightly in
13	recent years, natural gas (which mainly consists of
14	methane) production has seen a marked increase.
15	Natural gas is often extracted during the extraction
16	of liquid hydrocarbons, such as oil, from the ground
17	and is often undesirable due to the lack of
18	infrastructure to transport the natural gas to an
19	onshore location. The lack of infrastructure can be
20	explained by the physical nature of natural gas
21	which makes it difficult to transport safely and/or
22	efficiently in its basic gaseous state. As a result

2

the natural gas is often flared (ignited) causing 1 economic waste and environmental concern. 2 therefore be desirable to either convert the natural 3 gas into some other substance which can be 4 transported easily, or transport the natural gas in 5 6 a liquid state. In this way, new field development 7 will be more financially viable through the use of the extensive infrastructure and technology already 8 9 in place in the offshore industry for transporting 10 liquid hydrocarbons. 11 It is known to transport natural gas as a Liquid 12 Natural Gas (LNG) in specifically constructed 13 containers onboard vessels which have been adapted 14 for such purposes. However, this has many 15 disadvantages including; the need for expensive 16 pressurising equipment which is difficult to scale 17 down to suit smaller production fields, loss of gas 18 19 during transportation ("boil-off"), danger posed in transit to vessel and crew by high pressure, highly 20 flammable gases and the requirement to depressurise 21 the LNG into a usable gaseous state at the customer 22 23 end. 24 25 It is considered that a better way of utilising offshore produced natural gas (CH4) is to convert 26 it, on or in close proximity to the offshore 27 production platform, into synthetic gas (syngas) 28 which can in turn be used to produce gases, fluids 29 30 and chemicals such as methanol, ammonia and importantly, crude oil that can be readily pumped 31 32 through the same pipelines as the produced oil.

3

Syngas comprises a mixture of carbon monoxide(CO) 1 2 and hydrogen (H2). 3 By way of background information to the reader, 4 conversion of syngas to liquid hydrocarbon is a 5 chain growth reaction between carbon monoxide and 6 hydrogen on the surface of a heterogeneous catalyst. 7 The catalyst is either iron or cobalt based and the 8 reaction is highly exothermic. The temperature, 9 pressure, and catalyst determine whether a light or 10 heavy syncrude is produced. For example at 330°c 11 mostly gasoline and olefins are produced whereas at 12 180°c to 250°c mostly diesel and waxes are produced. 13 There are two main types of Fischer-Tropsch 14 15 The vertical fixed tube type has the reactors. catalyst in tubes that are cooled externally by 16 pressurised boiling water. 17 In large plants, several reactors arranged in parallel may be used, 18 presenting energy savings. Another process uses a 19 slurry reactor in which pre-heated syngas is fed 20 into the bottom of the reactor and distributed into 21 the slurry which consists of liquid wax and catalyst 22 particles. As the syngas bubbles upwards through 23 the slurry, it is diffused and converted into more 24 wax by the Fischer-Tropsch reaction. The heat 25 generated is removed through the reactors cooling 26 27 coils where steam is generated for use in the process. Again by way of background information to 28 the reader, this is shown in Fig. 7. 29 30 31 Thus if methane (or other gaseous hydrocarbons) could be converted to syngas and thereafter to 32

4

liquid hydrocarbons, the transportation costs and 1 difficulties outlined above would be mitigated. 2 3 Synthesis gas can be made by partial oxidation of 4 5 methane (although it is more usually made by the 6 reaction of methane with steam under pressure.) 7 8 A major safety problem with the partial oxidation of methane arises because methane and air (or oxygen) 9 should be fed into the reactor at the same time and 10 11 therefore there is the danger of an explosion. 12 It is known in the art that a reactor with 13 14 relatively dense ceramic membranes that conduct 15 oxygen can be used for syngas production (e.g. WO 98/48921 and WO 01/93987). These membranes generate 16 syngas by avoiding direct contact between the oxygen 17 and hydrocarbon feed, but this necessitates the use 18 19 of very high temperatures in order to achieve the 20 necessary oxygen flux. Moreover, being dense means 21 that the membrane has to be as thin as possible, 22 resulting in brittleness and crack formation, loss 23 of efficiency and reduced operating service life. In some cases the membrane would need to be so thin 24 25 that it would be unable to support its own weight 26 and therefore impossible to use in practice. 27 28 Cost effective natural gas (methane) conversion to 29 syngas for gas-to-liquids production would therefore 30 be an important commercial development. 31

5

1 Hydrogen can be used as a clean fuel. However, the amount of hydrogen that can be produced by using 2 renewable natural energy sources such as solar, 3 wind, and hydro-power is currently not sufficient to 4 satisfy demand. The utilisation of natural gas 5 and/or the production of hydrogen from natural gas 6 seen to be a viable alternative and the most 7 realistic solution at least in the first half of 8 9 this century [1, 2]. 10 An example of progress in the widespread utilisation 11 of natural gas involves the development of small co-12 generation system using the micro-gas turbine. In 13 addition, fuel cells are expected to be a highly-14 15 efficient power generating system. The fuel cells are anticipated to be deployed in residences in 16 addition to the installation in electrical vehicles. 17 18 Home-use of fuel cells can provide hot-water and 19 electricity, simultaneously. To commercialise the stationary fuel cells, it is necessary to establish 20 21 alternative hydrogen generation technology. 22 According to a first aspect of the present invention 23 there is provided an apparatus comprising a first 24 chamber and a second chamber and a membrane which 25 divides the first and second chambers; the membrane 26 27 comprising an inorganic support and a catalyst; 28 the membrane being adapted to allow passage of a first reactant from the first chamber to the second 29 chamber through said membrane; 30

1	wherein the first reactant is imparted with enough
2	energy by the catalyst upon said passage so as to
3	react with the second reactant.
4	
5	According to a second aspect of the present
6	invention there is provided a method of preparing a
7	membrane, the method comprising:
8	providing a support; and
9	adding a catalyst to the support.
10	
11	Preferably the first reactant is activated by being
12	imparted with enough energy by the catalyst upon
13	said passage so as to react with the second
14	reactant.
15	
16	Preferably the energy imparted on the first reactant
17	activates molecules of the first reactant without
18	forming an ionic species, such as 0^{2} .
19	
20	Preferably the support is adapted to operate at
21	temperatures exceeding 250°C.
22	
23	Preferably the support comprises an inorganic
24	support.
25	
26	Preferably, the membrane initially comprises an
27	inorganic coarse porous support. Most preferably,
28	the membrane initially comprises a ceramic coarse
29	porous support such as alpha alumina.
30	

7 - --... 1 Preferably, the first coating alters the said surface of the support and more preferably, the 2 first coating roughens the said surface. 3 4 5 Preferably, the first coating selectively alters the size, and more preferably, the diameter and 6 7 tortuosity of the pores. Preferably, the first 8 coating is applied by dipping the support into a solution which may comprise a wash coat solution 9 such as a retracting metal oxide solution. In a 10 preferred embodiment, the wash coat solution 11 comprises Titanium Dioxide (TiO2). Typically, the 12 first coating is applied to an outer surface which 13 may be an outer cylindrical surface of the support. 14 15 Typically, the method further includes the step of 16 applying a second coating to a second surface of the 17 18 support, said second surface preferably being an 19 inner surface of the support and more preferably 20 being an inner surface of a bore of the support. 21 The second coating preferably comprises a flux control layer and more preferably the second coating 22 is an inorganic porous layer. Most preferably, the 23 second coating comprises a gamma alumina layer. 24 Preferably, the second coating is applied by dipping 25 the support into a solution which may comprise a 26 27 boehmite solution. 28 29 Typically, the method further includes the steps of 30 drying the support and heating/firing the support.

Typically, the dipping-drying-firing sequence of the

8

1 second coating may be repeated a number of times as 2 required. 3 Preferably, the method further includes the step of 4 applying a catalyst to a surface of the membrane. 5 Typically, the catalyst is applied to the inner bore 6 7 of the pores of the membrane. Typically, the 8 catalyst comprises a metallic or non-metallic catalyst, and is more preferably a metallic active 9 catalyst. Most preferably, the catalyst comprises 10 active rhodium. Alternatively the catalyst can 11 comprise nickel. Preferably, the catalyst is 12 13 applied to the said surface by passing an osmotic solution over the said first surface, which may be a 14 first side, of the membrane and a cationic or 15 16 anionic catalyst precursor solution over the said 17 second surface, which may be the other side of the 18 membrane, such that the catalyst is deposited on the 19 inner bore of the membrane pores. Preferably, the osmotic solution comprises different electrolytes 20 and non-electrolytes in an aqueous solution at room 21 temperature. More preferably, the osmotic solution 22 23 comprises a sucrose solution. 24 Preferably, the method further includes the step of 25 26 heating the membrane to a relatively high temperature and may include the further step of 27 28 passing Hydrogen through the membrane pores such 29 that calcination occurs. 30

1 Preferably, the support may comprise one or more inner structures such as struts to increase the 2 surface area of the inner surface of the inner bore. 3 4 According to a third aspect of the present invention 5 there is provided a method of producing hydrogen 6 7 gas, the method comprising: providing a membrane, the membrane comprising a 8 support and a catalyst; 9 10 passing a first reactant through the membrane from a first chamber to a second chamber; allowing 11 the first reactant to come into contact with the 12 13 catalyst upon passage through said membrane; imparting the first reactant with enough energy 14 so as to react with the second reactant; 15 reacting the first reactant with a second reactant 16 to produce hydrogen gas. 17 18 19 Preferably, the membrane comprises a substantially 20 annular cylinder and more preferably, the first and second chambers comprise a substantially cylindrical 21 cross section. More preferably, a sidewall of the 22 membrane separates the first and second chambers and 23 the second cylindrical chamber may be located within 24 the first cylindrical chamber. 25 26 Preferably, the second cylindrical chamber is 27 28 defined by an inner bore of the membrane. 29 30 Preferably, a portion of the membrane is permeable. 31 Alternatively, the entire membrane is permeable. 32

1	Preferably, the first reactant passes from the first
2	chamber through pores formed in the sidewall of the
3	membrane to the second chamber.
4	
5	Alternatively, the second reactant passes from the
6	second chamber through the membrane to the first
7	chamber.
8	
9	Preferably, the first reactant is oxygen and the
10	second reactant is a hydrocarbon. More preferably
11	the second reactant is methane. Typically, the
12	synthetic gas comprises carbon monoxide and
13	hydrogen.
14	
15	An embodiment of the present invention will now be
16	described, by way of example only, with reference to
17	the accompanying drawings in which:
18	·
19	Fig. 1 is a transverse cross sectional
20	schematic view of a support of a membrane
21	apparatus in accordance with the present
22	invention;
23	Fig. 2A is a transverse cross sectional view
24	showing the support of Fig. 1 in more detail;
25	Fig. 2B is an end view of the support of Fig.
26	2A showing 'O' rings and cross sectional shape
27	of the support;
28	Fig. 3a is a diagrammatic cross sectional view
29	showing the formation of layers in the membrane
30	of the membrane apparatus of Figs. 2A and 2B;
31	Fig. 3b is a further diagrammatic cross
32	sectional view of the membrane apparatus;

1	Fig. 3c is a yet further diagrammatic cross
2	sectional view of the membrane apparatus
3	showing the movement of molecules in use;
4	Fig. 4 is a temperature / syngas ratio plot
5	showing the optimal temperature required to
6	achieve the desired syngas ratio;
7	Fig. 5 is a feed ratio / syngas ratio plot
8	showing the optimal feed ratio required to
9	achieve the desired syngas ratio; and
10	Fig. 6 is a % Vol. N_2 / Conversion plot showing
11	conversion of CH ₄ and O ₂ at 750°C;
12	Fig. 7 is a schematic flow diagram providing
13 .	background information relating to Fischer-
14	Tropsch Gas-to-Liquids Technology;
15	Fig. 8a is a graph showing the effect of
16	temperature on the methane conversion rate;
17	Fig. 8b is a graph showing the effect of
18	reaction temperature on the conversion rate of
19	methane for fixed-bed and membrane reactors;
20	Fig. 9 is a graph showing the yield of reaction
21	products at low methane conversation rates for
22	a membrane apparatus in accordance with the
23	present invention;
24	Fig. 10 is a graph showing the yield of various
25	reaction products at high methane conversion
26	rates by varying the feed ratio at a fixed
27	temperature;
28	Fig. 11 is a graph showing the yield of various
29	reaction products by varying the temperature at
30	a fixed feed ratio;

1	Fig. 12 is a graph showing the selectivity of
2	various reaction products at low methane
3	conversions;
4	Fig. 13 is a graph showing the selectivity of
5	various reaction products by varying the feed
6	ratio at a fixed temperature;
7	Fig. 14 is a graph showing the selectivity of
8	various reaction products by varying the
9	temperature at a fixed feed ratio;
10	Fig. 15 is a graph showing the yield of various
11	reaction products against the proportion of
12	nitrogen in a nitrogen/oxygen feed;
13	Fig. 16 is a graph showing the selectivity of
14	various reaction products against the
15	percentage of nitrogen in the nitrogen/oxygen
16	feed.
17	Fig. 17 is a graph showing the yield of various
18	reaction products against the percentage of
19	carbon dioxide in the methane feed; and,
20	Fig. 18 is a graph showing the selectivity of
21	various reaction products against the
22	percentage of carbon dioxide in the methane
23	feed.
24	·
25	A membrane apparatus 8 in accordance with the
26	present invention is shown in Fig. 1 and comprises a
27	tubular membrane 10 and an outer tubular shell 16.
28	Two gas flow passages are thus formed which are
29	substantially sealed off from each other. The first
30	within a bore 14 of the membrane 10 and the second
31	in the annulus 22 between the membrane 10 and the
32	shell 16.

1	The inner bore 14 of the modified membrane 10 may
2	have some supporting struts 34, as shown in Fig. 2.
3	These increase the structural strength of the
4	modified membrane 10. In operation, the struts 34
5	also change the flow pattern of oxygen flowing
6	through the sidewall 13 of the membrane 10 by
7	reducing the opportunity for the methane flowing
8	through the inner bore 14 to pass directly through
9	the centre of the modified membrane 10 inner bore
10	without coming into contact with the modified
11	membrane 10 surface. The struts 34 also increase
12	the internal surface area per unit volume of the
13	modified membrane 10, and hence increase the
14	opportunity for activation, compared to a completely
15	hollow cross section.
16	
17	With reference to Fig. 3c, the modified membrane 10
18	comprises an $lpha$ -alumina support 10, a TiO $_2$ washcoat
19	28 on the outer surface of the support 10 and a
20	γ -alumina layer 30 on the inside of the α -alumina
21	support 10. Rh catalyst particles 12 are
22	impregnated into the bores of the inner and outer
23	face of the sidewall 13 of the modified membrane 10.
24	
25	Further layers of increasing pore radii may be
26	provided adjacent to the γ -alumina layer 30 and TiO ₂
27	28 layers.
28	
29	Referring to Figs. 2A, 2B and 3a-3b the preparation
30	of the membrane 10 layers will now be described.
31	

WO 2004/098750

1	The process starts with the inorganic (preferably
2	ceramic) coarse porous support 10. Supports of this
3	nature are now widely available and a wide variety
4	of companies currently supply these base materials
5	and a preferred support 10 comprises an alpha-
6	alumina tube having 10mm outer diameter and a 7mm
7	inner diameter, typically having a pore size of
8	between 110 and 180 nm. The support 10 comprises a
9	porous middle portion 11 which is typically around
10	300 mm in length, and two remaining non-porous
11	portions 26 of about 25 mm in length at each end of
12	the membrane 10. The end portions 26 are made non-
13	porous by glazing them with a sealant, such as SiO_2 -
14	BaO-CaO at 1100°C.
15	
16	The wash coat 28 is then applied to the outer
17	cylindrical surface of the support 10 by dipping the
18	support 10 into a substance such as TiO_2 . This wash
19	coat 28 dipping step roughens the outer cylindrical
20	surface of the support 10 and adds microporosity to
21	the walls of the membrane catalysts 12. (In
22	operation the rough surface of the wash coat 28
23	forces the oxygen particles (not shown) to convolute
24	around the raggedness of the wash coat 12 and serves
25	to improve mass transfer of the limiting reactant
26	(oxygen) to the catalytic sites - this results in
27	improved syngas yields).
28	·
29	The oxygen flux control layer 30 is then applied to
30	the inside surface of the inner bore 14 of the
31	support 10. This layer 30 should be inorganic to
32	enable operation of the membrane 10 at high

1	temperatures and may comprise a gamma alumina layer
2	derived from a boehmite(AlO(OH)) solution with a
3	concentration of 0.6 mol/L. The inner surface of
4	the support 10 is exposed to the boehmite solution
_. 5	via dipping for about 2 minutes. The support is
6	then air-dried overnight and then heated to between
7	700 - 750°C at a rate of 1°C / min. It may be
8	necessary to repeat this dipping-drying-firing
9	sequence for up to a total of three cycles to
10	achieve the required gamma-alumina layer thickness
11	on the support 10.
12	
13	The deposition of the catalysts 12 on the support 10
14	is achieved using an osmotic ionic exchange process,
15	which will now be described.
16	
17	Osmotic Ionic Exchanged Catalyst Deposition:
	Osmotic Ionic Exchanged Catalyst Deposition:
17	Osmotic Ionic Exchanged Catalyst Deposition: The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic
17 18	
17 18 19	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic
17 18 19 20	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O
17 18 19 20 21	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as
17 18 19 20 21	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of
17 18 19 20 21 22	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28 + support 10 + gamma alumina layer (boehmite) 30)
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28 + support 10 + gamma alumina layer (boehmite) 30) different ways of introducing the catalysts 12 to
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28 + support 10 + gamma alumina layer (boehmite) 30) different ways of introducing the catalysts 12 to the support 10 are utilised. In the first instance,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28 + support 10 + gamma alumina layer (boehmite) 30) different ways of introducing the catalysts 12 to the support 10 are utilised. In the first instance, the osmosis process involves immersing the outer
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28 + support 10 + gamma alumina layer (boehmite) 30) different ways of introducing the catalysts 12 to the support 10 are utilised. In the first instance, the osmosis process involves immersing the outer surface of the partially modified membrane 10 in 6.0
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	The catalysts 12 are prepared using either cationic or anionic exchange using RhNO3 or RhCl3.2H2O respectively in an organic medium (0.2g/L) as precursors. Owing to the asymmetrical character of the membrane as shown in Fig. 3a (i.e. wash coat 28 + support 10 + gamma alumina layer (boehmite) 30) different ways of introducing the catalysts 12 to the support 10 are utilised. In the first instance, the osmosis process involves immersing the outer surface of the partially modified membrane 10 in 6.0 molar sucrose solution, while the catalyst precursor

16

1 second instance with the immersion of the outer 2 surface of the partially modified membrane 10 now in a catalyst precursor solution and the osmotic 3 4 (sucrose) solution now circulated in the inner bore 5 14 of the partially modified membrane 10. membrane 10 is then washed using distilled water and 6 subsequently dried by blowing dry air either through 7 the inner bore of the now modified membrane 10 or 8 9 across the outer cylindrical surface. 10 Calcination (which involves heating the modified 11 membrane 10 to a very high temperature and then 12 13 passing Hydrogen through the modified membrane 10) is then carried out under atmospheric pressure at 14 400°C for 2 hours. Metallic (active) Rh (the 15 catalyst 12) is obtained by reduction of Rhodium 16 17 ionic species using hydrogen at 400°C for 2 hours. 18 The modified membrane 10 characteristics may now be 19 measured. This may be done by scanning electron 20 21 microscopy (SEM) to show the degree of filling of 22 the modified membrane 10 pore network and to 23 estimate the gamma alumina (boehmite) layer 30 24 thickness. 25 26 Alternative materials may be selected. However it 27 is important that the selected materials have 28 similar thermal coefficients of expansion as adjacent layers. If there is difference in thermal 29 expansion coefficients of the active porous layers 30 31 and porous support layers, there is an advantage in 32 selecting materials for the intermediate porous

WO 2004/098750

1	support layers, with expansion coefficients which
2	gradually change from values near those for the
3	active porous layer to values near those for the
4	outer porous support layer. One way of achieving
5	this is to prepare the intermediate layers from a
6	mixture of the material used in forming active
7	porous layer decreasing in successive porous support
8	layers. For instance, porous support layer could
9	contain 75% by weight of the material used in
10	forming the active porous layer.
11	
12	The above discussion does not exclude the use of
13	identical materials in active porous layer and
14	porous support layer. Such a material selection will
15	eliminate chemical incompatibility and differential
16	thermal expansion problems but typically entails
17	sacrifices in strength and material cost.
18	
19	The number of porous support layers will depend on
20	the porous radius of the adjacent active porous
21	layer. They will vary from a single layer for
22	active porous layer pore radii selected from the
23	upper end of the specified range to four for pore
24	radii selected from the lower end of the specified
25	range.
26	
27	The surface area of a material determines many of
28	its physical and chemical properties, including
29	water retention capacity and reactivity with
30	nutrients and contaminants. The BET Surface Area
31	
	Analyser can be used to estimate the specific

1	volume of a specific gas that is absorbed under
2	controlled conditions. The BET surface Area
3	Analyser has typically been used in routine
4	characterisation of various membrane materials and
5	synthetic mineral analogues important in process
6	engineering systems.
7	
8	In the context of the present invention, BET surface
9	area analysis using nitrogen adsorption is used to
10	estimate the pore size distribution in the modified
11	membrane 10 and also to indicate values of porosity
12	and pore volume. Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis
13	(EDXA) surface analysis of the modified membrane 10
14	is used to confirm whether or not the modified
15	membrane 10 forms a continuous gamma alumina network
16	and the extent of any defects. It also provides
17	elemental composition of the catalysts 12 and its
18	relative dispersion. X-ray Photoelectron
19	Spectroscopy (XPS) is then used for chemical
20	analysis of the modified membrane 10.
21	
22	It is recognised that the partial oxidation of
23	methane may occur via two distinct mechanisms, i.e.
24	direct partial oxidation or total oxidation followed
25	by reforming reactions.
26	
27	To convert methane to syngas a partial oxidation is
28	required.
29	
30	
31	$CH_4 + O_2 \longrightarrow CO + H_2$
32	

19

Should a full oxidation occur, the reaction products 1 would be CO2 and H2O. 2 3 The operation of the modified membrane 10 in the 4 5 membrane apparatus 8 will now be described. 6 7 An oxygen (O2) supply 18 is fed into the outer bore 22 at one end of the membrane apparatus 8, and a 8 natural gas (which mainly comprises methane (CH4)) 9 supply 20 is fed into the corresponding end of the 10 inner bore 14. 11 12 13 The partial pressure of the oxygen 18 is maintained 14 at a higher pressure than that of the methane supply 20, which results in the oxygen passing through the 15 pores (not shown) of the modified membrane 10 from 16 17 the outer bore 22 to the inner bore 14. 18 so, the oxygen molecules come into contact with the 19 catalysts 12 present in the sidewall 13 of the 20 modified membrane 10, which activates the oxygen molecules before contacting the methane present in 21 22 the inner bore of the modified membrane 10. 23 activation imparts sufficient energy on the 02 24 molecule so that it can react at relatively low temperatures without forming an oxygen ion. 25 26 27 When the activated oxygen molecules come into 28 contact with the methane molecules, syngas is instantly formed according to the following chemical 29 30 reaction:-

31

 $CH_4 + O_2^* \Rightarrow_{catalyst} CO + H_2.$ 32

20

The produced syngas exits the membrane apparatus 8 1 from the other end of the inner bore 14 due to the 2 natural pressure differential created by the methane 3 supply 20, such that a syngas flow 24 is created. 4 Pneumatic control of the oxygen supply 18 flow rate 5 allows different flow rates of the methane supply 20 6 to be used, since an increase in the pressure of the 7 oxygen supply will result in a greater flux of 8 oxygen through the pores of the modified membrane 9 10 10. 11 In use a gas stream comprising the methane flows 12 next to or through the catalyst impregnated layer 13 The gamma alumina layer 30 on the bore side 14 14 enhances the reaction between permeated oxygen and 15 16 Since the oxygen molecules have to the methane. diffuse to the bore side 14 of the gamma alumina 17 layer 30 and the adjacent porous layer, the gaseous 18 environment of the gamma alumina layer 30 at and 19 near the bore is less reducing than in the outer 20 porous layers. As a result a complete or partial 21 oxidation reaction will take place here with some 22 reforming occurring as gas moves away from the gamma 23 24 alumina layer 30 respectively. It is advantageous to coat pores of the last porous support layer with the 25 reforming catalyst such as Rh to induce some 26 endothermic reforming as combustion products flow 27 through the porous support layer. This will assist 28 29 in removing the heat of the exothermic oxidation reaction from the surface of the active porous 30 31 layer. 32

21

The gradient in oxygen activity in the porous layer 1 will prevent damage to the gamma alumina layer 30 2 from exposure to very low oxygen partial pressures, 3 thus permitting a greater degree of freedom in the 4 5 selection of materials for these layers. 6 7 Gas permeability through the modified membrane 10 can be measured by placing the end of the modified 8 membrane 10 sample tightly against the ends of the 9 outer tubular shell 16, with a seal being formed 10 therebetween by '0' rings 32. A gas connection (not 11 shown) of the outer tubular shell 16 is attached to 12 13 a source of constant pressure. The predetermined 14 pressure difference being used creates a stable flow 15 of gas through the sidewall 13 of the membrane 10 16 sample, and is used to measure the flow rate, which 17 is proportional to the gas permeability of the modified membrane 10. 18 19 20 Multi-component selectivity can be obtained by 21 measuring the individual species concentration in the feed and permeate respectively. 22 23 Analysis of the reactants and products are analysed 24 25 using gas chromatography (GC) on-line using a 5 m 26 1/8 inch molecular sieve column to determine 27 methane, O_2 , H_2 and CO. Any CO_2 will be analysed using a separate 2 m long column of Porapak (RTM) 28 29 In this analysis, a thermal conductivity detector is also used. Water formed during the 30 reaction is condensed in an ice trap and further 31 32 removed by using a Drierite (RTM) trap.

1	In order to calibrate the chromatograph, multi-
2	component gas mixtures consisting of certified
3	compositions of methane, hydrogen, carbon dioxide,
4	carbon monoxide and oxygen were fed on one side of
5	the modified membrane 10 (e.g. the outer bore 22)
6	and the streams entering and exiting the inner bore
7	14 were analysed using the Thermal Conductivity
8	Detector (TCD) of a gas chromatograph.
9	
10	Other aspects investigated in testing the membrane
11	10 include the effect of operating temperature (Fig.
12	4), methane flow rate (Fig. 5) and composition of
13	syngas yield and selectivity (Figs. 4 and 6).
14	
15	Figs. 9-18 show a variety of these results using
16	such an apparatus. In each case, values of oxygen
17	and methane conversions and the yields of hydrogen
18	and carbon monoxide are monitored.
19	
20	To investigate the initial reaction products of the
21	$\mathrm{CH_4/O_2}$ feed, experiments were carried out at low
22	methane conversion rates and the products were
23	analysed as detailed above.
24	
25	The oxygen feed flow rate was held constant at
26	75ml/min and the methane feed flow rate was varied
27	from 150 to 425ml/min, giving a range of total feed
28	flow rates from 225 to 500ml/min. The higher total
29	feed flow rate decreases the contact time of the
30	reactants with the catalysts, thereby decreasing
31	methane conversation.
32	

23

In Fig. 8 it can be observed that for methane 1 conversion above and below 15% the CO2 yield 2 increases significantly. 3 4 The CO yield by contrast, increases for conversions 5 6 lower than 20% having a slight decrease at 7 conversions around 16% increasing again thereafter. The average CO yield is the highest average yield of 8 any one product. The water yield follows the same 9 profile as that for CO, but for methane conversions 10 11 around 18% it decreases again, deviating from the CO yield. The average water yield is the lowest average 12 yield of any one product. The hydrogen yield is a 13 mirror image of the CO yield up to 16% methane 14 conversion, rising considerably for higher 15 16 conversion rates. 17 18 In a second experiment, the feed flow rate of oxygen 19 was varied from 15-75ml/min whilst the flow rate of the methane was held constant at 150ml/min giving 20 21 reactions with total flow rates from 165-225ml/min, as shown in Fig. 9. The temperature was 1023.15K. 22 23 The methane conversion decreases proportionally with 24 the increase in total flow rate, i.e. with the 25 decrease in contact time. 26 27 With higher methane conversions rates, allowing more 28 contact time, the CO2 yield continues to increase up to 30% methane conversion, falling slightly around 29 30 15% yield and significantly when methane conversions 31 reach around 50%. When methane conversion is over 32 55%, an insignificant yield of 5% CO2 is found. Thus

1	the lowest yield of CO ₂ is found for methane
2	conversion higher than 50%.
3	
4	The CO yield stabilises at around 15% for methane
5	conversions higher than 20%. Water yield stabilises
6	at 5% for methane conversion from 20% up to around
7	40% increasing to almost 10% water yield at around
8	50% methane conversion, falling again to around 5%
9	yield at 55% methane conversion. Hydrogen yield
10	rises to around 18% hydrogen for methane conversions
11	from 30% up to 50% declining to 0.16 yield for
12	higher methane conversions.
13	
14	Thus the contact time (controlled by the feed rate)
15	does not have a significant influence on the
16	resulting products, but does influence the methane
.17	conversion rate. An advantage of certain
18	embodiments of the present invention is that they
19	can be used with low and high flow rates (producing
20	corresponding high and low contact times) without
21	affecting the resulting products. Longer contact
22	times aid methane conversion and provides high
23	yields of hydrogen and carbon monoxide and low
24	yields of water and carbon dioxide with methane
25	conversion is at about 50%.
26	
27	To obtain this contact time the total feed flow rate
28	needs to be lower than 185ml/min for this load of
29	catalyst and temperature of 1023.15K. Other
30	embodiments of the invention can use different feed
31	flow rates.
32	

25

1 It is well recognised that the partial oxidation of 2 methane may occur via two distinct mechanisms, i.e., direct partial oxidation or total oxidation followed 3 4 by reforming reactions [3]. In order to elucidate the mechanism for the catalytic membrane reactor 5 used here, the effect of the temperature on the 6 7 methane conversion and product yields was studied. The results of the analysis are presented in Figures 8 9 4 and 8a. 10 Fig. 8a shows the influence of temperature on 11 methane conversion and products yields for a total 12 13 feed flow rate of 165ml/min (150ml/min of methane 14 and 15ml/min of oxygen). Figure 8a shows that all 15 the oxygen is consumed. This occurs before significant amounts of hydrogen and carbon monoxide 16 17 are formed. Another important feature is that the 18 conversion of methane, yield of water and yield of 19 hydrogen all pass through a maximum at 750°C. This 20 behaviour suggests that below 750°C, water, carbon monoxide and hydrogen are primary products while 21 carbon dioxide is a parallel side reaction as 22 23 depicted in scheme 1. 24 $CH_4 + O_2 \longrightarrow CO + H_2 + H_2O$ Scheme 1 25 26 27 CO₂ 28 Kinetic modelling has shown that the overall 29 reaction can be described well with the contribution 30 of parallel oxidation and full oxidation according 31

32

to scheme 1.

1	Above 750°C, the total oxidation reaction r_2 is
2	expected to dominate with a significant increase in
3	water and carbon dioxide. However, examination of
4	Figure 8a shows that the carbon dioxide yield shows
5	only a modest increase above 750°C, while the yields
6	for water and hydrogen fall above this temperature.
7	This suggests that hydrogen, carbon dioxide and
8	water are being consumed accordingly to scheme 2
9	below.
10	r,
11	$CO_2 + H_2O + H_2 \xrightarrow{2} CH_4 + CO$
12	Scheme 2
13	
14	Scheme 2 helps explain the fall in the water and
15	hydrogen yields, the modest CO_2 yield increase and
16	the fall in methane conversion above 750°C.
17	
18	One important aspect in the subsequent conversion of
19	synthesis gas to liquids via a Fischer-Tropsch type
20	reaction is the hydrogen: carbon monoxide ratio. A
21	ratio of $2/1$ is optimum for this conversion. From
22	examination of Fig. 4 it can be seen that an optimal
23	temperature of around 750°C results in the desired
24	syngas (H2/CO) ratio of 2.
25	
26	The optimal feed ratio of methane to oxygen is
27	shown, in Fig. 5, to be 10, although reasonable
28	results which are relatively close to the desired
29	ratio of 2 are obtained at feed ratios of between 2
30	and 6 also.
31	

1	Figure 4 shows a plot of the ${ m H_2/CO}$ over the
2	temperature range studied. The optimum for gas-to-
3	liquids conversion is obtained at a temperature of
4	750°C. Above this temperature, a ratio below 2.0 is
5	attained while below 750°C, a value above 2.0 is
6	obtained.
7	
8	Selectivity is defined as the yield of a particular
9	component in proportion to the amount of methane
10	conversion, that is
11	
12	$Selectivity_X = Yield_X / Conversion_{CH4}$
13	
14	Selectivity for low and high methane conversion
15	rates is shown in Figs. 12 and 13. There the CO
16	selectivity remains almost constant with values
17	around 0.9. This possibly indicates the absence of
18	secondary reactions for CO in low methane
19	conversions. Hydrogen selectivity decreases for
20	methane conversion up to 15% and increases
21	thereafter, reaching similar CO selectivity values.
22	
23	Water selectivity profile follows a mirror image of
24	hydrogen selectivity, increasing for conversions up
25	to 15%, decreasing for higher conversions. For
26	higher values of methane conversion water
27	selectivity is constant, indicating the absence of
28	secondary reactions for water formation.
29	
30	Hydrogen selectivity decreases significantly for
31	methane conversions up to 50%, increasing slightly
32	after that.

1	The selectivity of CO decreases to lower values than
2	$ m H_2$ selectivity for methane conversions up to 45%
3	becoming stable thereafter, indicating that for
4	methane conversions higher than 46%, CO is not
5	formed by any secondary reaction.
6	
7	${ m CO_2}$ selectivity decreases with the increase of
8	methane conversion, being the least selective gas
9	formed in this reaction.
10	
11	It is important to note that the above-mentioned
12	experimental data were taken with varying contact
13	time, which can influence on the selectivity values.
. 14	For constant contact time, but varying temperature,
15	values are shown in Fig. 14.
16	
17	Effect of Feed Composition Variation on Reactor
18	Performance
19	
20	Figs. 15 and 16 show the yield and selectivity when
21	a varying proportion of nitrogen is added to the
22	oxygen feed. This influences the contact time of the
23	reagents with the catalyst.
24	
25	Fig. 15 shows that CO yield falls constantly with
26	
	the addition of nitrogen in the system. Hydrogen
27	yield decreases with up to 50% nitrogen in the
27 28	
	yield decreases with up to 50% nitrogen in the
28	yield decreases with up to 50% nitrogen in the
28 29	yield decreases with up to 50% nitrogen in the oxygen feed and is constant thereafter.

29

and hydrogen selectivities have a continuous drop after a pick up at around 50% vol. of nitrogen. 2 3 4 The water and CO₂ yields values do not differ 5 significantly when nitrogen is present or absent 6 although there is a small rise for air composition 7 (80% N₂). 8 Fig. 6 also shows that even for an 80% vol N_2 feed 9 10 (and hence 20% O2 feed) total oxygen conversion 11 takes place at a temperature of 750°C. The results 12 show that embodiments of the present invention can 13 work by using an air feed rather than a pure oxygen feed thereby negating the need for an oxygen 14 separation plant for this reaction to take place. 15 This clearly reduces both the initial outlays and . 16 17 operating costs of performing the reaction. benefit of certain embodiments of the invention is 18 19 that air separation is not required to produce the 20 syngas of optimal ratio for onward reaction to 21 liquid hydrocarbons via a Fischer-Tropsch reaction. 22 23 In contrast to the nitrogen, the addition of CO2 in 24 the feed does not influence CO yield, but reduces 25 hydrogen yield whilst increasing H₂O yield. 26 results are shown in Figs. 17 and 18. 27 28 The selectivity of CO and H2 decreases slightly in higher proportion for hydrogen with the addition of 29 30 CO₂ in the methane feed.

1	The water selectivity is generally constant but does
2	increase slightly for higher amounts of CO2 in the
3	feed.
4	
5	An advantage of certain embodiments of the invention
6	is that the oxygen and methane are fed separately
7	into the apparatus and so there is no danger of an
8	explosion. The oxygen proceeds through the modified
9	membrane 10, is activated and then reacts when it
10	comes into contact with the methane. Thus it is
11	possible to lower the ratio of methane and oxygen in
12	the feed to a ratio more suitable for their
13	reaction. Such a ratio would normally be considered
14	potentially explosive, but certain embodiments of
15	the present invention allow for such ratios without
16	the potential for an explosion partly because of the
17	separate oxygen/methane feed.
18	
19	Embodiments of the present invention benefit from
20	the highly dispersed catalyst which increase its
21	surface area and efficacy of the apparatus.
22	
23	Embodiments of the present invention benefit from
24	the high conversion rate of oxygen. To illustrate
25	the benefits of membrane reactor operation in syngas
26	production, Figure 8b shows the effect of reaction
27	temperature on the conversion of methane over Ir-
28	loaded catalyst carried out with fixed-bed flow type
29	quartz reactor (350-10mm) at atmospheric pressure,
30	using 60mg of catalyst, 25 ml/min of O_2 and
31	temperature range of 673-873K. At 873K the
32	performance of Ir and Rh are roughly identical [4].

31

In the same figure, experimental data is shown for a 1 membrane system in accordance with the present 2 invention at 900.15K. The conversion values obtained 3 using a fixed-bed flow reactor are significantly 4 lower than those obtained in the membrane reactor 5 due to equilibrium limitation. This has been 6 7 overcome in the membrane reactor which achieves 100% conversion of oxygen and a methane conversion of 8 41%. 9 10 Since, in the modified membrane 10, the catalysts 12 11 are highly dispersed, lower reaction temperatures 12 are feasible thereby reducing the propensity for 13 coke formation and subsequent deactivation of the 14 15 catalysts 12. The absence of coke formation 16 optimises catalyst usage whilst maintaining high 17 syngas selectivity. In the operation of the 18 membrane apparatus 8, additional catalysts (not 19 shown) may be inserted into the inner bore of the 20 modified membrane 10 as necessary to further enhance the reaction. These additional catalysts (not 21 shown) are obtained by physically breaking another 22 sample of a modified membrane 10 into appropriate 23 particle sizes and inserting the particle sizes into 24 the test or operation sample. 25 26 27 Certain embodiments of the present invention benefit from being used to generate hydrogen from, for 28 example, methane. The hydrogen can be used as a 29 30 fuel itself rather than converted into larger hydrocarbons via a Fischer-Tropsch reaction. 31 32

1	Certain embodiments of the invention benefit from
2	the fact that the partial oxidation method is
3	exothermic and therefore reduces energy consumption.
4	
5	Certain embodiments of the invention benefit from
6	the fact that the process has a fast start-up.
7	
8	In contrast, steam reforming to produce syngas has a
9	large endothermic reaction and a slow start-up time.
10	
11	Thus certain embodiments of the invention provide a
12	catalytic membrane reactor which has been developed
13	and used to produce hydrogen, particularly synthesis
14	gas, under various operating conditions with total
15	consumption of oxygen. At lower feed ratios (CH_4/O_2) ,
16	the syngas ratio is well above 2.0 while at higher
17	CH_4/O_2 ratio, the syngas ratio is 2.0. Thus depending
18	on the application the reactor is flexible to the
19	extent that it could be applied in the Fischer-
20	Tropsch process for converting natural gas to liquid
21	hydrocarbons. For gas-to-liquids conversion, an
22	optimum temperature of 750°C has been established at
23	which the hydrogen/carbon monoxide ratio is 2.0.
24	
25	Modifications and improvements may be made to the
26	foregoing without departing from the scope of the
27	present invention. For example;
28	
29	Though the apparatus and method described relates to
30	the production of syngas from the reaction between
31	methane and oxygen, a similar method and apparatus
32	could be used in the reaction of any light

33

hydrocarbon such as members of the alkane or alkene 1 group. Furthermore, the process and apparatus could 2 be used in any reaction where there are two 3 4 reactants which have constraints that make it 5 undesirable to mix them before the reaction has taken place, such as flammability constraints. 6 7 It will be understood that the flux of oxygen could 8 9 be reversed by feeding the oxygen into the bore of the modified membrane 10, and the methane into the 10 11 outer bore 22. However, in this case this arrangement would be less desirable since the 12 13 methane may have impurities in it, such as H2S, which would poison the catalyst 12. Therefore 14 15 passing the oxygen through the modified membrane 10 is preferred. 16

34

1	REFERENCES:
ㅗ	KELEKRNCES:

- 2 1. Gobina, E., The World Natural Gas Business,
- 3 BCC, Inc., 2000.
- 4 2. Gobina, E., Hydrogen as a Chemical Constituent
- 5 and as an Energy Source, BCC, Inc. 2002.
- 6 3. Prettre, M., C. Eichner, and M. Perrin, Trans.
- 7 Faraday Society, 1946. 43: p. 335.
- 8 4. Nakagawa, K., et al., Partial Oxidation of
- 9 Methane to Synthesis Gas with Iridium-loaded
- 10 Titania Catalyst. Chemistry Letters, 1996: p.
- 11 1029-1030.